CRAIGIEBUCKLER AND SEAFIELD COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Head of Planning and Sustainable Development Aberdeen City Council Business Hub 4 Marischal College Broad Street Aberdeen 10 Craigiebuckler Drive Aberdeen AB15 8ND

14 August 2013

Dear Sir/Madam

Application Number P181044*

Applicant: Dandara

Application Reference:

131044

Local Authority

000067145-001

Reference:

00000/145-001

Proposal Description:

Application for Matters Specified in condition 23 (means of access, siting, design & external appearance of buildings and landscaping) relating to

A8/0530 Planning Permission in Principle

Application type: Approval of Conditions for Planning Permission in Principle

We oppose the construction of 78 dwellings on this site (referred to as Zone B C) because, combined with the remainder of the planned residential development within the Pinewood/Hazledene site, it will generate a volume of additional traffic sufficient to cause congestion at the following locations:- Countesswells Avenue's junction with Countesswells Road, Countesswells Road's junction with Springfield Road and Seafield Road's junction with Anderson Drive. Those junctions were designed to cope with the traffic volumes of the 1960s and 70s and therefore are wholly unsuitable for the extra traffic movements that would be generated by this development.

There is no indication in the above referenced planning application that the Developer is prepared to contribute to any alterations to the above mentioned aspects of the roads infrastructure that may be required to facilitate the extra traffic movements, which will inevitably be generated by this development in combination with the remainder of the dwellings proposed for construction on the Pinewood /Hazledene site.

The Countesswells/Springfield Road junction is already over its capacity during peak times with long queues of traffic waiting to negotiate it.

Countesswells Avenue, presently the only access to the site, is a residential street. The noise of construction would cause disturbance and adversely affect the quality of life of its residents.

78 dwellings on this site, when added the Applicant's other planned developments at Pinewood/Hazledene, will impose additional burdens on the health and educational provisions in this area. We submit that there seems to be no indication from the Applicant pertaining to an

intention to contribute towards the additional costs that are likely to be incurred by the education and health services as a result of the localised increase in population engendered by the urbanisation of the site.

Zones B and C form part of a proposed development of 350 dwellings to be sited on the fields of Pinewood and Hazledene which feature a number of natural springs. Consequently the land is often waterlogged and is reverting to its natural state. Even when it was under cultivation in the 1960s, when the drainage ditches and channels, referred to in the Applicant's field drainage works drawing, were less likely to have been in a state of neglect, the surface water overflowed the boundary walls and flooded a number of properties in Burnieboozle Crescent.

At present flooding of the homes to the East of the proposed development of 350 dwellings (of which Zones B and C form an integral part) has been prevented by virtue of a French drain installed by Aberdeen City Council. According to page 23 of the Applicant's "Design Statement" there is a list of 'streetscape materials' which essentially must be capable of running off rainwater. However, by virtue of their water resistence, they are likely to add to the flooding of the site during periods of heavy rainfall.

The Applicant's intention, documented in the design statement, to create a varying coverage of hard surfaces is difficult to reconcile with the agricultural system of subsoil, herringbone field drains which the Applicant states, on 'Drawing Nr: ABR_HAZ_902', "will be cleaned and reshaped to avoid obstruction and maintain flow". In our view, the excavations needed to build houses and create a network of roads and paths, combined with the overlay of hard surfaces will disrupt the natural springs and constrain the water table so that it is forced to the surface in the curtilages of properties within the site or in its green open spaces. We are not convinced that cleaning field drains, which bear little relationship to the surface coverage of an urban environment, will significantly contribute to solving the ongoing problem of flood prevention in the area referred to as Zone B C. Field drains were meant to prevent the flooding of agricultural land, not an urban landscape.

Although the Applicant has stated that the attenuation ponds will have a fences round their boundaries, we are concerned that those bodies of water will be safety hazards for children at play. We are mindful that this is to be a new residential development, which is likely to be the home to a number of young families and therefore feel compelled to doubt that the creation of attenuation ponds is a safe solution to the problem of flooding on this site.

We question robustness of the Applicant's flood prevention strategy. For example, the Applicant seems to have provided no estimate of the capacities of the North and South Detention Basins. Furthermore, the ability of the 'wetland park' to cope with a rapidly rising water table seems to be speculative. This statement has taken into consideration the unavoidable situation whereby Zones B and C form an integral part of the whole development and therefore must be included in our objections which, in summary of the reasons given in the above paragraphs, declare that the entire site known as Pinewood/Hazledene should not, in our view, be developed.

Finally, the upgrading of the core path, together with the provision of connecting pathways, could possibly attract unwanted motorbike racers, which would result in adverse changes to the amenities in the area, raising issues of health and safety. It would also be contrary to the current trend of designing out crime when planning 21st century housing developments.

Yours sincerely William Sell Chairperson

MEMO



То	Gavin Evans Planning & Infrastructure	Date Your Ref. Our Ref.	07/11/2013 P131044 (ZLF) TR/RB/1/51/2
From Email Dial Fax	Roads Projects RBailie@aberdeencity.gov.uk 01224 522161		

Roads Projects
Enterprise, Planning &
Infrastructure
Aberdeen City Council
Business Hub 4
Ground Floor North
Marischal College
Broad Street
Aberdeen AB10 1AB

Planning application no. P131044

Site between (Zone B & C), Countesswells Road and, Hazledene Road Application for Matters Specified in condition 23 (means of access, siting, design & external appearance of buildings and landscaping) relating to A8/0530 Planning Permission in Principle

I have considered the above planning application and have the following observations:

1 Development Proposal

1.1 I note that the application is for Matters Specified in condition 23 (means of access, siting, design & external appearance of buildings and landscaping) relating to A8/0530 Planning Permission in Principle.

2 Condition 23

2.1 I note that I previously requested swept path analysis be submitted showing a refuse vehicle operating in the proposed development. After discussion the layouts have now been agreed as per drawing No. 915 Rev 3 and this condition has now been purified.

Richard Bailie Engineer

Robert Vickers

From:

Sent:

30 July 2013 14:31

To:

D

Subject:

Hazledene and Pinewood Development Site

Ref: Dandara Development Site plan dated May 13

It would appear that the construction site access is via Countesswells Avenue, the access to the other zones is by roundabout from Countesswells Road. Surely Planning will insist that this access road is constructed prior to proceeding with any building development, similar to the works carried out at Portlethen for the Stewart Milne site. This would insure that all construction equipment and materials can access the site without any inconvenience to the existing residents.

Can we assume that Countesswells Road will require to be widened up to the new roundabout. If road widening is planned would this mean compulsory purchase of ground from residential gardens on Countesswells Road?

view of the large number of houses planned for this development it is obvious that once again there is no consideration given to the impact of the traffic increase.

We await your response.

Eleanor and James Webster Sent from my iPad